

|) DUTY ON WHICH ENGAGED: | COURT OF INQUIRY, INVESTIGATING OFFICER | ~- · |
|--|---|------------|
| | OR COMMANDING OFFICER'S REPORT: | • |
|) Sequence 25. | COURT OF INQUIRY |), - |
| NATURE OF ACCIDENT AND STAGE OF FLIGHT: | DATE: 13-10-42. |) |
| Airman approached aircraft from the front and port side, then pro- | S/L Krug, K.E. (C478) No. 9 S.F.T.S. |)): |
| ceed to go between moving propellor: and was struck by port propellor. | 5 |) |
|) | RECOMMENDATIONS: |) . |
| | NiL. |) |
| PRIMARY CAUSE: | | نسديد |
| Failure of LAC. Bloom to emercise | | 7 |
| -propeller. | | ა ე |
| Prop. | ACTION TAKEN: (A) DISCIPLINARY (B) TECHNICAL (C) OTHER NIL. |)) |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF A.I.B. LAC. Bloom did not exercise sufficient care in the |) |
|) | vicinity of a revolving propellor. A covering letter |) |
|) | from the A.O.C. Nol T.C., advises that a statement |) |
| SECONDARY CAUSE OR CONTRIBUTING FACTORS: | from LAC. Bloom will be obtained immediately upon his recovery. |) |
|) propellor. | RECORDED BY DATE |) |
|) | |) |
|) | CHECKED BY DATE | j |
| | | |